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Church planting as growth strategy: Is it effective?

The Seventh-day Adventist Church in the North American Division emphasizes the strategy of planting new churches. No thorough research in the Church, however, has investigated the claim that church planting is a highly effective evangelistic strategy.

We initiated this study to encourage careful examination of the effects of planting new churches on kingdom growth in the North American Division of the Seventh-day Adventist Church. This study is not intended to provide thorough research comparing the effectiveness of evangelistic strategy, nor to offer conclusive inferential statistical data supportive of conclusions that may be applied across North America. This is an examination of experience in one conference alone. However, we hope this inquiry, within its limitations, will support investment in extensive quantitative research among a broad sample of North American Division conferences.

We approached this study with the assumption that determining the initial fellowship attachment of a new member would be informative regarding the role of a new church plant in the evangelistic process. Our position assumes an association between joining and the ministry activity of a particular church.

We selected the New York Conference (NYC) as the context of this study for several reasons:

1. The Adventist Church has a long history in its territory;
2. It is a conference characterized by old established churches;
3. There has been minimal effect of immigration patterns on its territory or church growth;
4. The conference experienced a significant change in growth history in the decade of the 1990s;
5. In 1993 the conference listed church planting as one of its action steps to accomplish growth in a vision and master plan for 1993-1998 titled "To the Glory of God";
6. During the second half of the decade the conference implemented a church planting strategy, beginning church planting as early as 1995;
7. The NYC provides an observable model in which planting rural churches, Caucasian churches in urban settings, Hispanic churches, and African-American churches occurred simultaneously;
8. No major metropolitan area public evangelist effected the growth history of the conference in the years 1994-1999; and
9. The conference accompanied church planting with equal emphasis on pastoral and lay church ministry development, as well as public evangelism.

For these reasons we believe the NYC offers an opportunity for comparison of church planting with the productivity of other evangelistic activity.

The research methodology included these steps:

1. We compared the growth of the New York Conference with like conferences in the North American Division in two selected time periods, 1990-1992, the first three years of the decade during which no church planting activity was occurring, and refer to it as the early period, and 1997-1999, the last three years, which we refer to as the recent period;
2. We identified persons making decisions for baptism in the years 1990-1992 and 1997-1999 in the New York Conference;
3. We examined the church planting strategy of the conference;
4. We surveyed new members baptized in the two selected time periods to discover sources of interest, retention, their initial local church attachment, and the dynamic of new church plants in their evangelistic decision; and
5. We surveyed pastors in the New York Conference to discover attitudes regarding church planting as an evangelistic strategy.

The context of the New York Conference

The New York Conference consists of that portion of the state of New York lying north and west of Columbia and Greene, Sullivan, and Ulster Counties. The population of the area in 2002 (the time of this study) was approximately 5,730,000.

The 2001 General Conference Yearbook of the Seventh-day Adventist Church lists 60 churches and a membership of 4,796. Several church groups and companies add to the number of congregations. Twenty-four pastors served the members of the conference at the time of this study.

We identified 16 new groups, companies, or churches planted in the years 1994-1999.

The NYC in the years 1990, 1991, and 1992 averaged 112 baptisms a year. Later in the decade, in the years 1990, 1991, 1992 (the early group), and 1997, 1998, and 1999 (the recent group). The number of respondents who fell into the early group, 1990-1992, was 93, representing 42 percent of the total respondents. The number who fell into the recent group, 1997-1999, was 130, representing 58 percent of the total respondents.

A survey of the growth patterns of the New York Conference in the 1990s reveals excellent conversion growth rates in the latter part of the decade. Although the Upstate New York region experienced population contraction and economic recession, the conference experienced a reversal of years of membership decline, and had significant tithe growth, a measurement meaningful to some who examine growth characteristics.

The church planting strategy of the New York Conference

The conference voted a formal church planting strategy in February 1997 that had been in preparation for over a year. Implementation of church planting activity had actually begun as early as 1993—the reality which led the researchers to compare the first three years of the decade and the final three years. The strategy contains the following vision statement:

“We see newly planted churches in our large municipal areas, unentered counties, and among distinct people groups. These churches are attracting people who would not be discipled in existing churches of the New York or Northeastern Conferences. They are healthy, growing churches that attract new people by the proclamation of the Adventist message and joyful, spiritual worship. Catalytic, visionary leaders initiate and lead these new churches with the support of the Conference.”

New Member Survey findings

We administered a survey of 11 questions that solicited information concerning respondents’ length of time in the church, the name of the pastor who baptized them, the church they were currently attending, and their attendance patterns. It also asked for basic demographic information such as gender, ethnic background, education level, and age group.

The questions pertaining more specifically to church planting asked them which church they joined when they became a Seventh-day Adventist, and what the most influential factor was in their decision to join the church.

A total of 223 usable responses were received from the surveys sent out to new members baptized or received into membership by profession of faith during the years 1990, 1991, 1992 (the early group), and 1997, 1998, and 1999 (the recent group). The number of respondents who fell into the early group, 1990-1992, was 93, representing 42 percent of the total respondents. The number who fell into the recent group, 1997-1999, was 130, representing 58 percent of the total respondents.

It is important to remember that the recent group correlates with the time period in which new church planting was occurring in the conference. The recent group, 1997-1999, can be further divided into two subgroups, those who were connected to one of the new church plants at the time of their baptism or profession of faith, and those who were not.

The first finding relates to the percent of additions to the church correlating with one of the new church plants. The number of respondents from the recent group who were connected to one of the new church plants was 32, representing 25 percent of the 130, while in the early period, prior to church planting (1990-1992), virtually all evangelistic growth came from the activities of established churches.

During the years 1997-1999 we estimate that 250 established Adventist members were instrumental in the formation of the new church plants, about 6 percent of the members of the
New York Conference. These members were involved in 25 percent of the conference evangelistic growth.

The second finding relates to biological growth, the addition of new members from the families of established members.

Respondents were asked to indicate from a list of choices a factor they considered to be the most influential in their decision to be baptized or join the church by profession of faith. Their options were “a friend,” “spouse/family member,” “Bible studies with a group,” “Bible studies with a pastor or other individual,” “public meetings,” or “other.”

In a few instances, respondents circled more than one choice, but rarely more than two. When this happened, we decided to include all of their choices in our calculations, based on an assumption that the respondent was having difficulty deciding between two or more factors, as to which was the most influential.

The influence of a spouse or family member as the primary factor in a person’s decision to join the church was considered to be biological growth; since the factor was within the home or family circle. This usually involves more of a passive witness by the church member, as he or she lives out their Christian life in the presence of their family. An argument can be made that these incidents should be registered differently. However, the determination was consistently applied in the analysis.

In the early group, 52 percent of the respondents indicated the biological factor to be the most influential in their decision to join the church. Among those from the recent group who were not connected with one of the new church plants, 34 percent chose the biological factor, and among those who were connected with a new church plant, 31 percent chose this factor.

This suggests that in the recent group, the passive witness that naturally occurs among families of church members, now accounted for a small-er percentage of the evangelistic activity, not because it had declined but—as indicated by the sheer increase in numbers of baptisms in the recent period—the other more active forms of witness increased significantly. The variation in the case of new church plants was small.

The third finding relates to the life of personal witness of members. Two factors that must certainly be considered as indicative of church members who are actively involved in intentional witnessing activities are “friend” and “study with a group.” These factors suggest that the respondents who chose either of them as the most influential factor in their decision to join the church were subjects of relationship with one or more church members prior to baptism.

A difference was discovered to exist between those who were connected with a new church plant and those who were not. The most influential factor of “friend” was selected by 11 percent of the respondents in the early group.

When we compare this to the respondents from the recent group who were not connected with a new church plant, we find the number slightly lower at 10 percent. However, among those in the recent group who were connected with a new church plant, 16 percent chose “friend” as the most influential factor.

Moving to “study with a group,” again 11 percent of the respondents in the earlier group chose this as the most influential factor. The number dropped to 6 percent among the recent group, who were not connected to a new church plant, while among those who were connected to a new church plant, it was 13 percent.

When these two factors are combined under the heading of friendship evangelism, we found that 29 percent of respondents from the recent group who were connected with a new church plant, were influenced by this type of activity. In contrast, only 16 percent of those from the recent group not connected to a new church plant, and 22 percent from the earlier group, fall into this category.

We found a higher correlation between involvement in intentional witnessing activities and new church plants.

One final finding relates to “public meeting.” While one would expect that this factor would play a significant role in the evangelistic growth of a conference, our study indicates that it played a minor role in the New York Conference.

In the early group, only 4 percent of the respondents chose this as the most influential factor. In the recent group the numbers were 11 percent for those not connected with a new church plant and 16 percent for those who were. This number parallels the increase in personal witness. One possible reason for this parallel is that public meetings are more effective when church members are more actively involved in intentional witnessing activities.

Analysis of the growth characteristics of the conference and the data gathered from the survey indicates a relationship between increased lay ministry activity, evangelistic growth, and church planting.

Findings of the Pastoral Survey

We administered a survey of nine questions which solicited information concerning respondents’ ministerial role with the New York Conference, as well as specific years of service.

It also asked basic demographic information such as gender, ethnic background, education level, and age group. The questions pertaining more specifically to church planting asked if the ministers had ever been involved in or observed church planting in their district, whether or not, in their opinion, church planting made a contribution to evangelistic growth, and the degree of contribution they felt it made.

Pastors were also asked to rank, based on their own feelings, the five
evangelistic activities of church planting, giving personal Bible studies, inviting friends to church, public evangelism, and small group ministries, according to their contribution to evangelistic growth.

A total of 25 surveys were received from the 32 sent out, representing a return rate of 78 percent.

The first significant trend to note is that every respondent who indicated he or she had been involved in church planting, 17 in total, also indicated that they believed that church planting activities contributed to the evangelistic growth of the conference. Among the remaining respondents who had not been personally involved in church planting activities, 75 percent of them (6 out of the 8) indicated that they also believed that church planting activities contributed to the evangelistic growth of the conference. Out of the 25 respondents, 2 felt that church planting made no contribution to the evangelistic growth of the conference.

When the demographic information was analyzed we found no variations in any particular sector.

The group of 23 respondents is made up of 16 pastors, 3 volunteer lay pastors, and 4 salaried (fully or bi-professionally) church planters. They represent every age group, with 8 falling between the ages of 25-39, another 8 between the ages of 40-54, 5 between the ages of 55-65, and 2 over the age of 65. They also represent a variety of educational backgrounds with 2 indicating some high school, 4 indicating some college, 7 who were college graduates, and 10 with post-college training.

The degree of contribution cited by the 23 respondents varied between the three choices of “minor,” “average,” and “significant.” There were two who left this question blank on the survey, leaving only 21 usable responses to analyze. From this number there were four who chose “minor,” eight who chose “average,” and nine who chose “significant.”

One final area to consider is the result of the question asking respondents to rank the five evangelistic activities mentioned earlier. In connection with this question, there were 19 usable surveys from among the 23 respondents. These indicated that they felt church planting made a contribution to evangelistic growth. Of these 19 respondents, 9 placed church planting among the top 3 spots. When numerical values were assigned to the rankings (first = 5, second = 4, third = 3, fourth = 2, and fifth = 1), “giving personal Bible studies” scored the highest at 71, “inviting friends to church” was next at 61, followed by “public evangelism” at 55, “church planting” scored slightly lower at 51, and finally, “small group ministries” at 47.

It is important to note that 3 of the 4 unusable responses were ones who continued on page 26
Nothing but the blood of Jesus

James A. Cress

When such critics reject what they term "slaughterhouse religion," because they don't like the language of beating, breaking, and bleeding, their argument must be with God. For blood is the language of both the Old and New Testament.

From the first lamb sacrificed after Adam's fall through the passing over of the homes protected by blood on the doorposts to the death of Jesus, Himself the Great Passover, to the promise that He awaits the His opportunity to share the juice of the vine with His redeemed in His kingdom, to the assurance that He, our High Priest, ministers His own atoning sacrifice in heaven for us, Scripture is filled—type and antitype— with the blood sacrifice of our Saviour.

Both by shadow and reality, the Bible declares, "not with the blood of goats and calves, but with His own blood He entered the Most Holy Place once for all, having obtained eternal redemption . . . How much more shall the blood of Christ, who through the eternal Spirit offered Himself without spot to God, cleanse your consciences from dead works to serve the living God . . . without shedding of blood, there is no remission" (Hebrews 9:11-14, 22). The Old Testament describes the shedding of vast quantities of animal blood while the New Testament affirms the vast quality of Christ's shed blood.

Do you see the emphasis? By His own blood! The gospel focuses on Jesus, Himself the Priest; Himself the sacrifice. Once for all. When Jesus poured out His own blood, I see Him pouring out His life for me.

The singularity of Jesus' own offering stands in contrast to the daily offering of sacrificial lambs. Once! Never before! Never again! Christ offered His own blood as an act of God's eternal love. No price was too much! Jesus paid the maximum. Never can there be an doubt about the sufficiency of payment. Jesus paid it all.

I carry a clipping in my Bible that well describes the all-sufficient gift of Jesus' own blood: "No mere human savior could have paid such a price and purchased redemption. Not in the least! Why? The demands of a broken law were too exacting. That law had been broken by finite beings incapable of again rendering complete obedience, but the law demand that a perfect obedience be rendered. Man could not do it. It required a God-man. Only Jesus, the incarnate in human flesh, could do it. And when He did it, He did a completed work. He did not purchase a half pardon; He did not provide a partial bloting out of sin. His was a perfect atonement for us. He set us free. We no longer need to pay the penalty for our transgressions. We are absolutely free of all condemnation and guilt."

How? By His own blood appropriated by faith! Understand the symbol and understand the reality: "Now the blood shall be a sign (a token) for you" (Exod. 12:13). The wages of sin is death. We each have sinned. We have corporately experienced it and we have individually chosen it. We deserve the wages of sin. We deserve only justice, only condemnation, only death.

But God's love and grace has provided an escape. The Lord, Himself, has provided a sacrifice. The Lord has provided Himself the sacrifice. The blood of Jesus Christ becomes the sorety of our salvation. The blood of Jesus Christ becomes the sustenance of our lives. The blood of Jesus Christ becomes the title to our heavenly home. Right now Jesus pleads His own blood for me and you.

Reject a Hollywood depiction if you will. Never reject the soul-saving, sinner-redeeming, mind-transforming, sin-atoning, copiously-sacrificed, life-saving blood of Jesus, the Lamb of God slain from the foundation of the world!
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Seventh-day Adventist evangelism is going well in many parts of the third world. In the first world, in contrast, it’s a different story. There are two trends, however, that do offer some promise for the developed world.

First is the “seeker church” movement advocated by Willow Creek, a huge non-denominational church in the suburbs of Chicago that has sparked a remarkable movement in the first world. Second is the church planting movement that continues to grow within evangelicalism. Where do Seventh-day Adventists fit into the new paradigms?

In this article we will look at a case study from Las Vegas that could shed light on this issue. What can we learn from this example?

The obstacles

I was sitting on a boat on Lake Mead with a group of lay people. We had gone there to brainstorm. Our purpose was to find ways to evangelize the rapidly growing Las Vegas population.

The breeze had been blowing, and every wave that hit the boat seemed to be a further reason for the people of our city not to want to come to church. We were trying to look at “church” through the eyes of the unchurched and had concluded that to many, church is simply boring.

Pews are weird things! Hymns are in a foreign language and a foreign style of music! Sermons are dull compared to the shows on the Las Vegas strip. And then the biggest obstacle of all: Who wants to go to church on Saturday morning?

That’s when I said, “What if we could bypass all of those objections?” The group was quiet for a moment, and then as if on cue the wind died down and the group began to brainstorm in earnest.

What if we did evangelism in a way that was truly pertinent to the unchurched? What if we used popular music with lyrics and a style that was familiar to the general population? What if we held evangelistic meetings in an informal public place where people could come dressed comfortably? What if we could use extensive graphics and video to augment the evangelistic presentation? And what if we offered the meetings at a time when people and the general culture were used to having religious things (or were doing little)—Sunday morning?

The boat got quiet again. Then someone was bold enough to ask the obvious questions: “How in the world could an Adventist church hold meetings on Sunday morning? Isn’t that against our religion? How could we get anyone to help us fund something like that?”

Yet despite these concerns, the group was excited enough about the vision to go to work on the objections. They discovered that Ellen White advocated exciting, relevant evangelistic meetings on Sunday morning. “Whenever it is possible, let religious services be held on Sunday. Make these meetings intensely interesting. Sing genuine revival hymns and speak with power and assurance of the Saviour’s love.”

When this was presented to the church body as a whole, there were no theological objections. The whole concept was then presented to the Pacific Union Evangelism Endowment Committee, and we received a grant for our Sunday-morning evangelism project.

Ups and downs of the new project

The Sunday-morning evangelism began on Easter Sunday, 1999. Oversized postcards were mailed out three different times to the ZIP Codes surrounding Cimarron High School, where the meetings were to be held.

Cimarron was in a great neighborhood for church planting and had been the site of a non-denominational church plant the previ-
ous year that had grown from 600 to 2,000 in one year. The marketing professionals gave us the assurance that, based on other marketing campaigns in Las Vegas, there would be about 200 guests for the opening service.

Unfortunately the time change was on the eve of Easter that year, and besides, people woke up to freak snow flurries that morning. Attendance was only 150, including about 50 of our local church members. The next week attendance dropped to around 100, but the spirit was good.

I did the preaching while I continued as senior pastor of Mountain View Church. Because of the good attendance and Union funding, the Nevada-Utah Conference began looking for a full-time church planter. The guests were about one-third Catholic, one-third Protestant, and one-third Mormon, all inactive.

I preached the doctrines of the Adventist Church in a systematic, seeker-sensitive way. I continued preaching through the summer but was much relieved when a pastor who was deeply interested in this unique evangelism method transferred to Las Vegas from British Columbia.

Meanwhile the costs at Cimarron High School went much higher than originally negotiated. For this reason, the Sunday-morning evangelism was moved to a more economical junior high school, in a less desirable location.

With the transfer another advertising campaign was launched. Unfortunately a mix-up on the ZIP Codes sent the postcards to an area several miles from the new location.

The name of our new group was changed from Mountain View Community to Higher Grounds (a coffee shop motif). The time was shifted to Saturday at 4:00 p.m. to accommodate Xers, who were presumably sleeping Sunday morning. The new pastor began to shift away from overt Adventist doctrine to simply preaching through the Bible.

Somehow Xers were unable to discover Higher Grounds; attendance dwindled to about 15. The Adventists supporting Higher Grounds gradually dropped out, leaving the core team to about eight people.

After two months of Saturday afternoon services, the time was moved back to Sunday morning at 10:30, and attendance immediately went back up to about 40.

Transitions
Meanwhile, by September 2000, the core team was beginning to press the planting pastor a little harder to teach more Adventist doctrine, begin Sabbath services, and get the group moving closer to becoming an authentic yet contemporary Adventist congregation. He made overtures in that direction but not enough to satisfy the core team.
Some more conservative members of Mountain View Church were anxious that Higher Grounds be more than just a Sunday-morning church funded by Seventh-day Adventists.

During the Christmas holidays, the planting pastor made a decision to change his career from the ministry to journalism. A farewell service was held for the pastor and his family on January 14. To enable new leadership to develop, he was asked to worship with Mountain View and not Higher Grounds for a while.

Attendance at Higher Grounds on January 21 was down to about 20, with none of the pastor's disciples in attendance. Two weeks later Higher Grounds was discontinued. To this date there have been no accessions to the Seventh-day Adventist Church because of Higher Grounds.

What did we learn?

As you can imagine, I was extremely disappointed by the results. We had put in more than a year of hard work and great expense.

Despite the outcome, though, much positive came out of our Sunday-morning evangelism experiment. The core team is stronger in the Lord and more evangelistic than ever. Perhaps the most successful part of the experiment was the learning that we gained through it that can be helpful to many churches and church plants in the postmodern world:

♦ A Sunday morning time slot doesn't in itself cause church growth. Even though the Sunday morning time slot was easier than a Sabbath time slot for seekers, it still took massive marketing attempts to get people to come.

♦ It is difficult to get Adventists to commit to helping out on Sunday morning. Although there was a good initial response from Mountain View members (and no theological objection), Adventists could commit only to sporadic help on Sunday morning.

♦ It is difficult to get new Christians to transfer from Sunday morning to Sabbath morning. Aside from the possibility of deep conviction over the Sabbath, why should they change days when we are providing great programming for them on Sunday?

♦ Holding back on the unique teachings of the Adventist Church doesn't prevent people from slipping away. The attrition rate for those attending Higher Grounds was about the same as it would be for traditional evangelism.

♦ Children's ministry is important. Many people discontinued coming to Higher Grounds because the children's ministry was sporadic.

♦ Providing an environment where people can come and feel comfortable without having to make any kind of a commitment doesn't cause church growth.

♦ If a church planter is not totally committed to planting an authentic Seventh-day Adventist congregation, the church plant will likely fail.

Would I recommend doing Sunday-morning evangelism again? Absolutely, with some significant changes.

♦ I would not switch leadership in the middle of the campaign.

♦ I would shorten the length of the campaign to less than two months and then switch to Sabbath morning after the Sabbath had been presented.

♦ I would teach the doctrines of the Adventist Church in a secular or postmodern context and call for decisions.

♦ I would choose leadership that was absolutely sold on Adventism.

If we are to turn the church growth trend around in the postmodern world, we have to be bold. The history of the Christian faith is filled with pioneers willing to take great risks in order to share the gospel. Unless we can create an environment where it is safe to experiment, we will never discover what it will take to put the awesome truths of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in a package accessible to the secular and postmodern people of the first world.

---

2 We used the services of Outreach Marketing found on the Web at <www.OutreachMarketing.com>.
3 As of this writing, the planting pastor is serving as an assistant pastor at a nondenominational church.

---

Church planting

continued from page 21

had indicated they felt that church planting had made a "significant" contribution to the evangelistic growth of the conference. Had these responses been able to be included, it's likely they would have increased the score for "church planting."

The investigators feel the sample base, given its geographical and quantitative limitation, should not be approached with the intention of extrapolating a verifiable comparison of evangelistic methods. The responses do suggest that while value is seen in church planting, it is not viewed as significantly different in value when compared to other more traditional and proven forms of evangelistic strategy.

Conclusions

Does a relationship exist between planting new churches and evangelistic growth in the context of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in North America? The discussion should continue, and further research is needed. This single project does suggest a relationship to the objective observer, and provides to the more subjective observer evidence that indeed church planting is an effective evangelistic strategy for the Seventh-day Adventist Church in North America.

---

1 The researchers believe the nature of the inquiry and the context of the sample itself tend to discovery from analysis of relationships, and less to discovery from calculated inferential tests of significance.