There are a number of ways that the subject of justification in the Gospels could be approached. On the one hand, the discussion could be very brief since the word justification (δικαίωσις) never occurs in the Gospels and only twice in the rest of the New Testament (Rom 4:25; 5:18), a fact belying its great importance to Christian theology. On the other hand, the concept of justification, based not only on the verb δικαιόω and other cognates but also on similar terms, is found comparatively frequently in the Gospels and is no less important. Another approach would be to look at various stories of people illustrative of the concept in some way, such as the paralytic (“Your sins are forgiven,” Mark 2:5), Zacchaeus (“Today salvation has come to this house,” Luke 19:9), and the woman caught in adultery (“Neither do I condemn you. . .,” John 8:11). Parables illustrative
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of the concept could also be examined. The inherent danger in a study of this kind is to read the Gospels through the eyes of Paul or, conversely, to find no commonality whatsoever between them. Despite the obvious attraction of a more wide-ranging study of the concept of justification, the approach taken here will be more limited, concentrating on the use in each Gospel of δικαιόω and related terms.

Justification in Matthew

Matthew focuses not on the process of justification but on the result. Jesus is the righteous king and those who belong to his kingdom should have kingdom righteousness, which is most fully described in the Sermon on the Mount. It is a righteousness not like that of the scribes and Pharisees (5:20). This is interesting because later Jesus describes the Pharisees as being righteous (9:13). However, as Matthew makes clear, this is a righteousness that can even co-exist with lawlessness (ἀνομία) because it is only external (23:25-28; cf. 6:1). Kingdom righteousness, by contrast, internalizes the law, as the antitheses describe, by banishing anger, lust, virtually all divorce, and oaths, and by insisting on giving more than is required and loving one’s enemies—in short exemplifying the ethical perfection of heaven (5:21-48). Thus reference is made in 6:33 to seeking God’s righteousness, which is also described as doing the will of the Father.

---

4 E.g., the triad of parables in Luke 15 of the lost sheep, the lost coin, and the lost son.
6 I am grateful for the helpful suggestions made following the presentation of this paper at the Adventist Theological Society/Evangelical Theological Society annual meeting in Atlanta, GA, November 18, 2010.
8 Gerhard Maier, Matthaeus-Evangelium (2 vols.; Bibel-Kommentar; Stuttgart: Hänssler, 1979-1980), 1:189, locates this righteousness in the OT standard of righteousness that is based on God himself, not on human performance (Lev 19:2; Deut 18:13).
(7:21). Kingdom righteousness impacts religious devotion because outward piety is meaningless. Almsgiving, prayer, and fasting should be done secretly because one’s real reward is not on earth but in heaven and based on trust in the heavenly Father (6:1-32).

No human standard of righteousness can ever be the basis for entering the kingdom. Matthew’s Jesus “points to a requirement that is impossible for us to achieve. Impossible though it may be for us to achieve it, it is nevertheless demanded.”9 Within Matthew’s Gospel, it becomes clear that this kingdom righteousness cannot be achieved; it can only be received.10 The work of Jesus, like that of John the Baptist, results in a division within Israel into believers and unbelievers, with believers largely coming from the disenfranchised,11 including the proverbial “sinners” (tax collectors and prostitutes) who are successfully entering12 the kingdom of God ahead of the chief priests and elders who did not believe Jesus or John (21:31-32). This believing is connected with repentance in the immediate context13 and demonstrated by godly living, i.e., “the way of righteousness.”14

On the other hand, references in Matthew to entering the kingdom are frequently to a future event (5:20; 7:21; 18:3; 19:23-24). And several parables unique to Matthew place the separation between the believing and the unbelieving, the righteous and the unrighteous, at the final judgment

---

9 Yri, 99.
10 On righteousness in Matthew described as a gift, see, e.g., Gottlob Schrenk, “δίκη, δίκαιος, κτλ,” TDNT, 2:178-225 here 198-99; Yri, 105.
12 So also Eduard Schweizer, The Good News According to Matthew (trans. David E. Green; Atlanta, GA: John Knox, 1975), 412. The present tense is used in v. 31b (προάγουσιν), unusual in Matthew for entry into the kingdom (cf. future and aorist tenses in). However, judging from use of the present tense here and in 23:13, as well as references to the kingdom being already present (e.g., 4:17; 12:28; 13:38), its force should not be weakened to mean “being well along the path that leads into the kingdom rather than of having already entered the kingdom” as urged by John Nolland, The Gospel of Matthew (NIGTC; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2005), 863.
13 Although μεταμέλομαι is used rather than μετανοέω both in the parable and in its application (21:29, 32), it is this application that should dictate the meaning rather than the parable. Cf. France, 803 that it approximates the meaning “repent” here.
14 As Benno Przybylski, Righteousness in Matthew and His World of Thought (SNTSM 41; Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1980), 95-96, points out, John’s message and way of life cannot be separated.
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(13:24-30, 36-43, 47-50; 25:1-13, 31-46). There is even reference to justification in connection with this judgment: “I tell you, on the day of judgment you will have to give an account for every careless word you utter; for by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned” (12:36-37). While this fits the typical Jewish understanding of future justification, its connection in Matthew with a present justification corresponds more closely to Paul’s schema and to NT eschatology more generally. At the same time, it should not go unnoticed that the immediate context connects the acceptance of the kingdom proclamation of Jesus with repentance (12:41; cf. 11:20-21), paralleling the call to repentance given by John the Baptist. In other words, justification in Matthew closely parallels both the present and future aspects of entering the kingdom of God which comes through full acceptance of the proclamation of Jesus.

Justification in Mark

Besides the mention of Herod’s perception of John the Baptist as a righteous man (Mark 6:20), the only other occurrence of δίκαιος relevant for this study appears to be an ironic reference to the scribes and Pharisees as righteous. To the question of why he eats with tax collectors and sinners, Jesus replies, “Those who are well have no need of a physician, but those who are sick; I have come to call not the righteous but sinners” (2:17). The passage is significant in characterizing Jesus’ ministry as one directed at bringing outcasts back into fellowship within Israel, illustrating the theme of newness announced in 1:15 and further described in 2:18-22.

In the structure of the chapter, forgiveness (2:1-12) precedes fellowship (2:13-17). The aphorism of Jesus reinforces this idea, drawing on a familiar proverb. Adopting the premise of his accusers for the sake of the rebuttal,

15 We enjoy the benefits of justification in the present (Rom 4:25; 5:1-5) while we await its consummation (5:18); cf. Schrenk, TDNT 2:208, 224.
16 See Clinton Wahlen, Jesus and the Impurity of Spirits in the Synoptic Gospels (WUNT 2/185; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2004), 128-29.
17 Against the less likely possibility of granting to the critics a degree of righteousness that God could approve, see William L. Lane, The Gospel of Mark: The English Text with Introduction, Exposition, and Notes (NICNT; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1974), 105.
the “sinners,” those who are sick, are the ones who need the physician’s “healing,” that is, forgiveness. This call or invitation of Jesus for sinners to enter the kingdom “suggests that the basis of table-fellowship was messianic forgiveness, and the meal itself was an anticipation of the messianic banquet.”19 Therefore, the implicit link between forgiveness and healing in the first story is here made more explicit. The story of the paralytic interprets the call of sinners to fellowship and vice-versa. In other words, Jesus’ healings comprehended not just physical restoration but also “a return to wholeness within Israel and a sign of the redemption that his kingdom proclamation offered.”20 As so often in Mark, irony is utilized to make an important point:21 those who are truly righteous respond to the call of Jesus and thereby receive forgiveness, fellowship, and full restoration within the community of faith.

Justification in Luke

In Luke, Jesus calls sinners “to repentance” (εἰς μετάνοιαν, 5:32), a seemingly innocuous clarification until it is noticed that, in the announcement of the “new wine” ministry of Jesus that follows, only Luke includes the protest of some traditionalists that “the old is better” (5:39, author’s translation).22 Of the Synoptics, Luke alone identifies the “leaven of the Pharisees” as hypocrisy (12:1). He also mentions that the lawyer was “wanting to justify himself” with the question “Who is my neighbor?” (10:29), and so follows the parable of the Good Samaritan in which the priest and Levite pass by the half-dead man to preserve their ceremonial

19 Lane, 106 (italics his).
21 Cf. the use of intercalation to produce dramatized irony by which readers are confronted with issues arising from the stories in Tom Shepherd, Markan Sandwich Stories: Narration, Definition, Function (Andrews University Seminary Doctoral Dissertation Series 18; Berrien Springs, MI: Andrews University Press, 1993).
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Likewise, in the parable of the lost sheep, there is “more joy in heaven over one sinner who repents than over ninety-nine righteous persons who need no repentance” (15:7) and the parable of the lost son is also about the self-righteous older brother whose response to his father’s love is left open (15:31-32).

Jewish piety appears prominently from the outset perhaps to appeal especially to other such “older brothers” in Israel that they might see in Jesus the fulfillment of their hopes. Zacharias prophesies that when finally delivered (ῥυσθέντας) from their enemies Israel would be able to serve God without fear ἐν ὀσιότητι καὶ δικαιοσύνη (1:74-75).

The angel Gabriel, announcing the fulfillment of Mal 4:5-6, indicates that part of John’s purpose in paving the way for Jesus would be to call “the disobedient to walk in the wisdom of the just; to make ready for the Lord a people prepared for him” (1:17). “Righteous and devout” (δίκαιος καὶ εὐλαβής) Simeon utters a similar prophecy when taking baby Jesus in his arms to bless him (2:25), that the child would bring God’s salvation (2:30).

One of the most poignant appeals for pharisaical Jews to comprehend the reason for Jesus’ attitude toward those with a sinful reputation is Luke’s account of the anointing of Jesus at the home of Simon the Pharisee. Responding to Simon’s unspoken doubts, Jesus defends the woman’s actions (in contrast to the host’s lack of hospitality toward him) as arising from her gratitude at being forgiven. Her much love demonstrates that she

23 Further, see Wahlen, *Jesus and the Impurity of Spirits*, 141-42 and the literature there cited.


25 Such was the goal of the exodus from Egypt (Exod 7:16; Josh 24:14); cf. its single qualified use in the NT of Christians in Eph 4:24 (ἐν δικαιοσύνη καὶ ὀσιότητι τῆς ἁληθείας).

26 Among the four Gospels, σωτηρία appears mostly in Luke (1:69, 71, 77; 19:9), but also in Mark 16:8 and John 4:22.
has been forgiven much.\textsuperscript{27} Significantly, Jesus’ assurance both to Simon and to the woman that her sins stand forgiven (ἀφέωνται) is in the perfect tense (vv. 47-48), indicating a “state of forgiveness, which Jesus recognizes and declares. . . . Jesus does not deny that her sins have been ‘many,’ but that she is no longer under the burden of them.”\textsuperscript{28} This is evident from his concluding words to her: “your faith has saved you; go in peace” (v. 50). It is fitting that this story in Luke is introduced by the description of Jesus as “a friend of tax collectors and sinners” and the affirmation that “wisdom is justified by all her children”\textsuperscript{29} (7:34-35), suggesting a group different from Simon and the complaining children of v. 32. The meaning of ἐδικαίωθη in v. 35, as with ἐδικαίωσαν of v. 29, is “Show or pronounce to be righteous, declare or admit to be just.”\textsuperscript{30}

Another passage, aimed even more directly at self-righteousness, is the Parable of the Pharisee and the Tax Collector (18:9-14). According to Luke, Jesus “told this parable to some who trusted in themselves that they were righteous and despised everyone else” (v. 9, author’s translation). The Pharisee in the parable distinguishes himself by his arrogant behavior: “he took up a prominent position”\textsuperscript{31} (σταθεὶς πρὸς ἑαυτόν) in order to pray; he thanks God that he is not like the rest of mankind; he then proceeds to spell out how bad everyone else is: “thieves, rogues, adulterers”; he is not “even like this tax collector”; next he enumerates his supererogation: fasting twice a week, tithing everything he gets (even the smallest herbs, 11:42). In dramatic contrast to this proud Pharisee is the self-abasing attitude of the tax collector: he stood at a distance; he did not venture\textsuperscript{32} even to lift his eyes to heaven but kept beating his breast (“or more

\textsuperscript{27} Taking ὅτι as “because” makes poor sense in the context where great loves shows great forgiveness but does not cause it. . . ; better, considering that” (Max Zerwick, A Grammatical Analysis of the Greek New Testament [trans., rev. and adapted by Mary Grosvenor, 3d rev. ed.; Rome: Editrice Pontificio Istituto Biblico, 1988], 203).

\textsuperscript{28} Fitzmyer, 1:692.

\textsuperscript{29} Together with the verb, use of πᾶς matches v. 29; cf. Matt 11:19 “by her works” (cf. v. 2).


\textsuperscript{32} Jeremias, 141.
accurately the heart, as the seat of sin”); his prayer is short, simple, and straightforward: “Oh God, be merciful to me, a sinner” (like the Pharisee also in a class by himself and yet not like him!). The prayer is a plea for propitiation and forgiveness (ὑλάσκομαι, again only in Heb 2:17). The authoritative pronouncement by Jesus that the tax collector, not the Pharisee, left justified, “accounted as righteous, accepted,” is startling, because God has said “I will not justify the wicked” (Exod 23:7 NET; cf. 1QHa XXV.13). Yet such a surprise ending is typical of Jesus’ parables and there is no reason to doubt its authenticity. The combination of δικαιόω with ἡλάσκομαι is so close to the use of the same verb with ἠλαστήριον in Rom 3:24-25 as to make comparison of the two contexts irresistible. That the terminology of being justified may be explicable to some extent by Pauline influence might be a reasonable supposition except that similar language is found already quite often at Qumran, albeit with somewhat different nuances. To ask what sin the Pharisee had committed or what reparations the tax collector had made to prove his repentance misses the point as the focus here is on the inward attitude of the two worshipers which is evident from their words and even their body language (as well as the concluding proverb of v. 14b). The tax collector even quotes the opening words of Psalm 51, which repeatedly considers the inner condition of the penitent (vv. 6, 10, 17). Thus, the meaning of the perfect passive participle reflects a changed state inwardly as well as outwardly—a change attributable solely to God’s grace and which remains
His justifying righteousness.\textsuperscript{40} Justification in this sense can never be meritorious but is always purely God’s gift.

\textbf{Justification in John}

Seldom does the Gospel of John mention righteousness or the like,\textsuperscript{41} but when it is it is almost always connected with judgment.\textsuperscript{42} The most important and yet obscure and controverted passage is John 16:8-11. The dominant interpretation of this passage is in an exclusively negative sense, as a forensic judicial prosecution of the world;\textsuperscript{43} but such an interpretation overlooks the larger concerns of the Fourth Gospel, most notably its purpose to bring people to faith in Jesus (20:31) through the work of his disciples, which is modeled after the work of Jesus (17:18, 20). This is also the work of the Paraclete since he is the continued presence of Jesus in the world (14:16-18; 16:12-15).

Jesus is the true Light that enlightens everyone who comes into the world (1:9).\textsuperscript{44} Jesus did not come into the world to condemn the world but that the world might be saved through him (3:17). Light brings everyone to the point of decision, some loving darkness because their deeds are evil

\textsuperscript{40} Cf. the typically Catholic formulation of “interior justification” by Ceslas Spicq, “which,” he says, “is much more than a verdict of acquittal: God grants that this ‘sinner’ becomes just, he makes him just” (\textit{TLNT}, 1:340).

\textsuperscript{41} This rare usage should not be considered an indication of the theme’s unimportance as suggested by Andrew H. Trotter, Jr., “Justification in the Gospel of John,” in \textit{Right with God}, 126-45 here 127: “The very paucity of references to the \textit{dikaiosyn} word-group makes it all the more important to examine them thoroughly, and the passages in which they occur, if we are to understand John’s view of justification.”

\textsuperscript{42} John 5:30; 7:24; 16:8; also 16:10; 17:25—\textit{dikaiosyn} appears in 16:8, 10 and \textit{dikaios} elsewhere.

\textsuperscript{43} E.g., D. A. Carson, “The Function of the Paraclete in John 16:7-11,” \textit{JBL} 98 (1979): 547-66, followed in the main by Trotter; John Aloisi, “The Paraclete’s Ministry of Conviction: Another Look at John 16:8-11,” \textit{JETS} 47 (2004): 55-69. Underlying this interpretation is the tendency to read the Gospel on two levels: the experience of the so-called Johannine community is superimposed upon and read through the historical events represented at the narrative level (see J. Louis Martyn, \textit{History and Theology in the Fourth Gospel} (Nashville, TN: Abingdon, 1968). Numerous and serious objections to this mirror-reading approach have been raised, not least of which is its distortion of the obvious message of the book which places persecution of the disciples in the future (e.g. John 16:2-3).

\textsuperscript{44} The nearest antecedent, \textit{ἀνθρωπον}, is also the most natural syntactically.
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while others come to the light (3:19-21). The latter include Samaritans who recognize in Jesus “the Savior of the world” (4:42), Jews recognizing him as “the Prophet” (6:14), the man born blind (9:17, 33, 38), apparently some Greeks (12:20-21), and even “the world.” Accordingly, Jesus said, “I came into this world for judgment so that those who do not see may see, and those who do see may become blind” (9:39). Since the Paraclete is to do a work similar to what Jesus had done, we should expect that the description of 16:8-11 would have differing outcomes in accordance with differing responses. A closer look at the passage supports this supposition.

The dominant meaning of the verb ἐλέγχω is “convict, convince,” “to show someone his sin and to summon him to repentance.” This meaning has its Jewish antecedents in God’s disciplining and educating human beings through convicting, chastising, testing, and judgment. If this is the meaning here, then the Paraclete would convict the world: (1) of sin, because of their failure to believe (v. 9) and their need to believe in the Lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world (1:29); (2) of righteousness, because, through the “Spirit of truth” (14:17; 15:26; 16:13), Jesus sets people free from sin (8:31-36) and has ascended to the Father victorious to be their Advocate (20:17; 1 John 2:1); (3) of judgment, because the ruler of this world is condemned and cast out through the

---

46 BDAG 315.
48 Ibid., 473-74. See LXX Gen 31:42; 2 Sam 7:14; 1 Chr 12:18; Ps 6:2; 37:2; Prov 3:11 (quoted in Heb 12:5); Wis 12:2; Sir 18:3; Isa 2:4; 11:3-4, etc. Qumran usage of ḥakavah with God as subject often means “rebuke” not simply to prove the person wrong but to persuade him to change his mind. See A. R. C. Leaney, “The Johannine Paraclete and the Qumran Scrolls,” in John and Qumran (ed. James H. Charlesworth; London: Geoffrey Chapman, 1972), 38-61.
49 Not exactly “in the forensic sense of justification or acquittal,” as urged by William H. P. Hatch, “The Meaning of John XVI, 8-11,” HTR 14 (1921): 103-5 here 104. The word δικαιοσύνη, used only here in John, always appears in the Johannine epistles with ποιέω in an ethical sense (1 John 2:29; 3:7, 10; cf. Rev 19:11; 22:11), an idea present also in John’s Gospel once its connection with light as the corresponding opposite of sin/darkness is recognized (3:19-21; cf. 5:14; 8:11).
The concept of justification, broadly considered in connection with δικαιοσύνη and its cognates, is present to a greater or lesser degree in all four canonical Gospels. In Matthew, justification is correlated with the righteousness of the kingdom which alone is sufficient to enter it. This righteousness proclaimed by Jesus involves an internalization of the law. Since it is God's righteousness it can never be achieved by human attempts at scrupulosity. It can only be received through an intimate acquaintance with the Father—in advance of and as an assurance of vindication in the final judgment. Justification in Mark includes the forgiveness available to sinners as they respond to the messianic invitation to kingdom fellowship and full restoration within Israel. Luke comes the closest to Paul’s concept of justification, highlighting the danger of self-righteousness and the need

50 For a similar interpretation of John 16:8-11, see Jon Paulien, John: Jesus Gives Life to a New Generation (The Abundant Life Bible Amplifier; Boise, ID: Pacific Press, 1995), 240-41.
for repentance in order to receive forgiveness, acquittal, and inner peace. It also involves an inward change that is manifested outwardly in humility, gratitude, and love to God for this gracious gift. John’s Gospel views justification, as well as its negative aspect of condemnation, in terms of the cross—which, with the conviction brought about by the Spirit-Advocate working through the disciples, brings people to a point of decision. Being sanctified through the word and Spirit of truth involves such a complete change that it is pictured as a new birth, which is the means of entering the kingdom of God and experiencing unity with the Father and the Son.

In all four Gospels, justification is closely connected with the proclamation of the kingdom of God, and it is perhaps for this reason that we find both present and future aspects of justification in view. In Matthew the two aspects are fairly evenly balanced. The present aspect predominates in Mark and Luke, while a “perfective” element seems to pervade the Gospel of John whereby the decisive victory at the cross is made a reality through the Spirit, who brings conviction, faith, and transformation.

Clinton Wahlen is an Associate Director of the Biblical Research Institute at the General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists in Silver Spring, Maryland. Following seven years in pastoral ministry, he served a total of eleven years as professor of New Testament and Greek, first at Zaoksky Theological Seminary in Russia, and later at the Adventist International Institute of Advanced Studies in the Philippines. Specializing in New Testament Studies at the University of Cambridge (U.K.), where he earned his Ph.D., he has published numerous articles in church publications and in various scholarly books and journals, a book (*Jesus and the Impurity of Spirits in the Synoptic Gospels*, Mohr Siebeck, 2004), and an Adult Bible Study Guide on James (forthcoming, 2014).